Floor Rebette.
Approved For Release 2005/08/03: CIA-RDP71B00364R090600720966-2566

Points on the Senate Exchange Concerning Supervision of ClA

- 1. Senator Fulbright alluded to the DCI refusal to provide him with certain information which according to Fulbright, the DCI stated he could and would provide the members of the CIA Subcommittees and the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board. Fulbright neatly avoided the distinction which is made between intelligence information and operational information. It was with respect to the latter area that the DCI stated the injunction under which he was required to operate.
- 2. Senators Fulbright and McCarthy followed two arguments which in application are in opposition to one another. On the one hand, they argued that the CIA activities are in some way related to foreign policy and therefore the Foreign Relations Committee has a right (co-equal with the Appropriations and Armed Forces Committee) to be privy to its activities. On the other hand, in attempting to minimize the security hazard involved in increasing the present committee structure they make much of the point that this would only bring

committee structure they make much of the point that this would only bring three additional members into the inner circle

que.